

GOVERNMENT
OF
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT

+ + + + +

REGULAR PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

JUNE 24, 2026

+ + + + +

The Regular Public Meeting of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment convened via Videoconference, pursuant to notice at 9:30 a.m. EDT, Michelle Pourciau, Chairperson, presiding.

BOARD OF ZONING ADJUSTMENT MEMBERS PRESENT:

MICHELLE POURCIAU, Chairperson
PAUL GOLDSTEIN, Vice Chairperson
MELISSA LINDSJÖ, NCPC Designee

ZONING COMMISSION MEMBER PRESENT:

ROBERT MILLER, Commissioner

OFFICE OF ZONING STAFF PRESENT:

KEARA MEHLERT, Secretary
PAUL YOUNG, A/V Production Specialist

OFFICE OF ZONING ATTORNEY ADVISORS PRESENT:

SARAH BAJAJ, ESQ.
CARISSA DEMARE, ESQ.
JORDANE WONG, ESQ.

1 The transcript constitutes the minutes
2 from the Regular Public Meeting held on June 24,
3 2026.
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

CONTENTS

Application No. 21329 of Stephen Jackson	10
Application No. 20974-A of DC Chinatown Hotel Owner, LLC	24
Adjourn	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(9:32 a.m.)

CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Good morning, everyone. The Board of Zoning Adjustment's June 24th, 2026, public hearing will please come to order. My name is Michelle Pourciau, Chair of the District of Columbia Board of Zoning Adjustment. Joining me today are Vice Chair Paul Goldstein, BZA Member Melissa Lindsjo, and joining us from the Zoning Commission is Commissioner Rob Miller.

On June 23rd, the Board entered into a closed meeting session for the purpose of obtaining legal advice from counsel. This closed meeting was voted upon previously in a public setting to be held for the purpose of obtaining legal advice from our counsel and -- and deliberate, but not vote, on contested cases on today's agenda. While discussions were held, there were no decisions made.

Today's meeting and hearing agendas are available on the Office of Zoning's website. Please be advised that this proceeding is being recorded by a court reporter and is also webcast live via Webex and YouTube Live.

The video of the webcast will be available on the Office of Zoning's website after today's

1 hearing. Accordingly, everyone who is listening on
2 Webex or by telephone will be muted during the
3 hearing. If you experience difficulty accessing
4 Webex or with your telephone call-in, then please
5 call our Office of Zoning hotline number at 202-727-
6 5471 to receive Webex login or call-in instructions.

7 Today, we will begin with our decision meeting
8 session followed by our hearing session. Please be
9 advised that we do not take any public testimony at
10 our meeting session.

11 Public testimony will be taken during the
12 hearing session. In today's hearing session,
13 everyone who is listening on Webex or by telephone
14 will be muted during the hearing, and only persons
15 who have signed up to participate or testify will be
16 unmuted at the appropriate time. Please state your
17 name and home address before providing oral
18 testimony or your presentation. Oral presentations
19 should be limited to a summary of your most
20 important points. When you are finished speaking,
21 please mute your audio so that your microphone is no
22 longer picking up sound or background noise. All
23 persons planning to testify, either in favor or in
24 opposition, should have signed up in advance. They
25 will be called by name to testify. By signing up to

1 testify, all participants completed the oath or
2 affirmation as required by Subtitle Y, section
3 408.7.

4 Request to enter evidence at the time of an
5 online virtual hearing, such as written testimony or
6 additional supporting documents other than live
7 video, which may be presented as part of the
8 testimony, may be allowed pursuant to Subtitle Y,
9 section 103.13. The order of procedure for a
10 special exception to variances is pursuant to
11 section Y -- is pursuant to Subtitle Y, section 409.

12 Time constraints for hearing testimony shall be
13 maintained pursuant to Subtitle Y, sections 408.2
14 and 408.3. Once again, if you experience difficulty
15 accessing Webex or with your telephone call in, or
16 if you have forgotten to sign up 24 hours prior to
17 this hearing, then please call our Office of Zoning
18 hotline number at 202-727-5471 to sign up to testify
19 and to receive Webex login or call-in instructions.

20 At the conclusion of each case, an
21 individual who was unable to testify because of
22 technical issues may file a request for leave to --
23 to file a written version of the planned testimony
24 to the record within 24 hours following the
25 conclusion of public testimony in the hearing. If

1 additional written testimony is accepted, then
2 parties will be allowed a reasonable time to respond
3 as determined by the Board. The Board will then
4 make its decision at its next meeting session but no
5 earlier than 48 hours after the hearing. At the
6 conclusion of the Board's decision meeting session
7 or hearing session, the Office of Zoning, in
8 consultation with the chair, will determine whether
9 a full summary order may be issued. A full order is
10 required when the decision it contains is adverse to
11 a party, including an affected ANC. A full order
12 may also be needed if the Board's decision differs
13 from the Office of Planning's recommendation.
14 Although the Board favors the use of summary orders
15 whenever possible, an applicant may not request the
16 Board to issue such an order.

17 Finally, the District of Columbia
18 Administrative Procedure Act requires that the
19 public hearing on each case be held in the open
20 before the public. However, pursuant to Sections
21 405B and 406 of that act, the Board may, consistent
22 with its rules of procedures and the act, enter into
23 a closed meeting on a case for purposes of seeking
24 legal counsel on a case pursuant to DC Official Code
25 Section 2-575B4 and/or deliberating on a case

1 pursuant to DC Official Code Section 2-575B13. But
2 only after providing the necessary public notice in
3 -- in the case of an emergency closed meeting after
4 taking a roll call vote. So our first order of
5 business will be to take a vote on having a closed
6 meeting.

7 So the next item on our agenda is to vote
8 for the Board to hold a closed meeting on June 30,
9 2026 at 2:00 p.m. This session is being held in
10 order for the Board to receive legal advice from its
11 counsel regarding the cases noted on the agenda for
12 our next meeting on July 1st -- just want to make
13 sure. Is that the right day? For our next meeting,
14 yes, on July 1st. And to preserve the attorney-
15 client privilege between the Board and its attorney
16 pursuant to D.C. Official Code Section 2-
17 575(B(4)(A). And to deliberate but not vote on the
18 contested cases pursuant to D.C. Official Code
19 Section 2-575(B)(13). I will ask the secretary to
20 provide the list of cases on the agenda.

21 MS. MEHLERT: The cases scheduled for the
22 July 1st meeting and hearing are 21464 of S. Preston
23 Brown A/K/A Yonna S. Brown, 17703D of Sidwell
24 Friends School, 21405 of Azanat Shaley, 21434 of
25 Maria Del Carmen Cabezas, 21209 of 304 306 308 K

1 Street LLC, 21450 of Francisco Carrera and Rosalie
2 Rivero, and 21453 of 2222 Q Street Owner LLC.

3 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Thank you, Secretary
4 Mehlert, and good morning. I will now make a motion
5 to enter into closed session on June 30th, 2026, at
6 2:00 p.m. Is there a second?

7 VICE CHAIR GOLDSTEIN: I second.

8 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Thank you, Vice
9 Chair Goldstein, and good morning to you.

10 VICE CHAIR GOLDSTEIN: Good morning.

11 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Secretary Mehlert,
12 would you please call the roll for the vote?

13 MS. MEHLERT: Yes. Please respond to the
14 Chair's motion to hold a closed meeting with legal
15 counsel on June 30th at 2:00 p.m. Chair Pourciau?

16 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Yes.

17 MS. MEHLERT: Vice Chair Goldstein?

18 VICE CHAIR GOLDSTEIN: Yes.

19 MS. MEHLERT: Board Member Lindsjo?

20 MEMBER LINDSJO: Yes.

21 MS. MEHLERT: And Commissioner Miller?

22 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.

23 MS. MEHLERT: Staff will now record the
24 vote as four to zero to one, to approve the motion
25 by Chair Pourciau and second by Vice Chair

1 Goldstein.

2 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: The motion passes.
3 The Board will meet in closed session on June 30th,
4 2026, at 2:00 p.m.

5 All right, I think we're ready to proceed.
6 Madam Secretary, do you have any preliminary
7 matters that we need to address?

8 MS. MEHLERT: Just two changes to the
9 schedule today. Application No. 21404 of 512 Taylor
10 LLC has been rescheduled to July 22nd, 2026. And
11 application No. 21333 of Carousel Tree Holdings LLC,
12 withdrawn. And I'll note any preliminary matters
13 when the specific case is called.

14 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Thank you. I
15 believe we're ready to hear our first case. Would
16 you please call case No. 21329?

17 MS. MEHLERT: First in the Board's meeting
18 session is application No. 21329, Steven Jackson.
19 This is an application pursuant to Subtitle X
20 Section 901.2 for a special exception under Subtitle
21 B Section 204.4 and the requirements of Subtitle B
22 Section 204.1 to allow removal or significant
23 alteration of a rooftop architectural element
24 original to a principal building. Again, this is
25 the alteration of the roof of a front porch to allow

1 installation of a railing for a second-story deck at
2 an existing two-story attached -- two-story
3 principal dwelling. The project is located in RF 1
4 zone at 1128 Fourth Street Northeast, square 0773,
5 lot 73. And the public hearing for this application
6 concluded on November 5th, 2025. The Board began
7 deliberations on December 3rd and December 10th but
8 did not vote. And Commissioner Rob Miller
9 participated in those proceedings.

10 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Thank you so much,
11 Madam Secretary. I'm so happy to have Commissioner
12 Miller with us today. I must say, Mr. Commissioner,
13 we have really leaned heavily on our commissioners
14 who have joined us, and they have been so helpful.
15 So we're looking forward to having you in the
16 hearing with us today, and we appreciate your
17 guidance on any measures.

18 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Thank you. I also
19 trust your leadership and the Vice Chair Goldstein
20 and Board Member Lindsjo. So I look forward to
21 working with you.

22 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Very good. Thank
23 you. Would you like to begin the discussion about
24 this application?

25 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Sure. I -- since I

1 was the only Board member who currently is still
2 here who had participated in the public hearing on
3 this matter and the two decision deliberations,
4 which we didn't reach a decision because we didn't
5 have three Board members in agreement, and we only
6 had three Board members available at that time.

7 So I'm happy that we have four members here
8 today, so maybe we'll be able to reach a decision
9 one way or the other. So as Secretary Mehlert
10 described, the special exception that's being
11 requested here, the relief that's being re-requested
12 for the alteration of a rooftop element in the RF 1
13 district, the special exception would be to the
14 zoning regulation Subtitle E 204 dealing with
15 rooftop upper floor elements. The standard for that
16 relief in -- in Subtitle E 204, I'll just read it
17 just for the benefit of the public.

18 The Board of Zoning Adjustment may grant
19 relief from the requirements of Subtitle E 204.1 as
20 a special exception subject to the following
21 conditions: the proposed construction shall not have
22 a substantially adverse effect on the use or
23 enjoyment of any abutting or adjacent dwelling or
24 property. In particular, one, the light and air
25 available to neighboring properties shall not be

1 unduly affected. Two, the privacy of use and
2 enjoyment of neighboring properties shall not be
3 unduly compromised. And three, the proposed
4 construction, as viewed from the street, alley, or
5 other public way, shall not substantially -- shall
6 not substantially visually intrude upon the
7 character, scale, and pattern of houses along the
8 street or alley frontage.

9 So it's that last -- there are other
10 general special exception standards about adverse
11 impacts upon the neighborhood. There -- there
12 wasn't any. So the -- the issue really is whether
13 this proposed construction -- is this proposed
14 construction as viewed from the street. It
15 substantially visually intrudes upon the pattern,
16 scale, and character of the houses along that
17 street. This is unfortunately -- unfortunately,
18 this was done unlawfully, and it's been there for
19 two or three years now. The plans that were
20 approved originally called for a second story Juliet
21 balcony, as I recall, with similar metal railings
22 that now exist and have existed for the last few
23 years along the roof, I guess, of the porch. So
24 guardrails. They're thin black metal rail guard --
25 guard rails, so if someone goes out there, they

1 don't fall over from that second-story window or
2 door, I guess is -- is what it -- what it is now.
3 There are no other -- We've had a lot of exhibits
4 and a lot of discussion photographs, which I'm sure
5 you all have reviewed in the record. There are no
6 other houses on this particular block that have that
7 second-story deck. They have second-story decks --
8 well, really the top of roofs, atop of porches on in
9 -- in front of the house -- but they don't have a
10 railing around it. So it would be a change. But
11 it's a subjective judgment.

12 But I -- looking at the photographs that
13 were provided by the applicant at our request
14 following the hearing, it does not -- it's a change,
15 but it does not seem to substantially visually
16 intrude upon the character, pattern, scale of the
17 neighborhood. It certainly doesn't cause any
18 adverse effects upon the neighboring properties. In
19 fact, the neighboring properties, at our request, we
20 asked the applicant to go back and engage more with
21 the people across the street. He had -- had, he
22 already pro -- had pro -- provided, I believe, in
23 the record, the abutting neighbors support.

24 But I wanted him to engage with the people
25 across the street because they're the ones looking

1 right at that house, and he got the -- and he got
2 the letters of support from neighbors across the
3 street. And in fact, when you see the images from
4 across the street, it's hard to find, hard to see
5 that metal -- those metal -- that metal railing
6 because there's a big, beautiful tree right in front
7 of that house, which I guess doesn't have leaves in
8 the winter.

9 I guess we should have looked, asked for a
10 winter time look at it, but it does not -- it does
11 not substantially visually intrude, and it certainly
12 doesn't -- it isn't adversely affecting the
13 neighbors who have -- have mostly submitted letters
14 in support or not at all. So and they've been
15 living with it for three years. I mean, so you
16 would think there'd be complaints about it if --
17 there is opposition from ANC, the affected ANC,
18 unanimous opposition. What's that, 6C? I -- I
19 don't have the number right in front of me. But
20 there is that, and we're required to give great
21 weight to -- to the ANC's concerns.

22 The Office of Planning submitted a letter,
23 submitted a report in strong support of the
24 application. And there's a prior case, I think a
25 couple of years ago and not too far away, which is

1 very similar, which was approved by the Board.

2 The ANC, I think just -- this is based on
3 just the dialogue we had with the ANC and with the
4 applicant at the hearing, I believe. The ANC,
5 there's a lack of trust between the ANC and this
6 applicant because they -- there was previous
7 discussions about what was going to go on that --
8 what alteration was going to be made, and they
9 thought it was the Juliet balcony that were the
10 approved plans.

11 So I think there's a lack of -- there was
12 just a lack of trust, and they didn't -- they don't
13 think the applicant should be rewarded for doing
14 something unlawful. But the applicant, you know, I
15 don't know whether it was a misunderstanding or
16 intentional misleading, that's not our role to
17 figure out. We're looking at this as if it were
18 new. That's the way we're supposed to review it.
19 And looking at it as if it were new, I think it
20 meets the standard of not substantially visually
21 intruding upon the character -- character, pattern,
22 scale of the neighborhood. So I can understand the
23 ANC feeling that they were misled in what was
24 originally approved. But the applicant came clean
25 and finally -- and submitted this application, I

1 think, over a year ago.

2 So it's been around for a while. So the
3 only -- well, I'll point -- I might point out
4 another -- well, I'll point it out now. Another
5 aspect in my own mind is that, you know, people want
6 open space for, especially if they're a growing
7 family in a row house neighborhood where things are
8 tight and they want to be able to have their kids be
9 able to use open space safely. You need the
10 guardrails if you're going to go out in front.

11 So the Zoning Commission recently
12 considered an omnibus zoning text amendment didn't
13 deal with this particular issue, but it dealt with
14 ground floor rail -- rear decks where and -- and
15 other cases where the BZA has almost unanimously
16 approved cases where which required relief, which
17 the ANC supported, which the neighbors supported,
18 but required relief and required homeowners to go
19 through a six-month or more process, time, and
20 expense of coming to the BZA. And we're, the 24
21 issues we were dealing with in that omnibus were
22 trying to- take the case, heavy caseload of the BZA
23 off of your workload. And so one of the related
24 cases, not this issue, but was -- was the ground
25 floor rear deck where 34 cases were approved by BZA

1 without opposition from anybody. So we basically
2 had made ground floor rear decks of a certain size,
3 limited size, matter of right so that -- so people
4 in the future will have other options than going
5 through this kind of special exception process for
6 rooftop element alteration. So, I just point that
7 out also for the public record and for people to
8 know about.

9 So, Madam Chair, sorry for going so on so
10 long and kind of rambling thoughts, but I'm prepared
11 to support the relief that's being requested in this
12 application because I think it does meet the
13 standards in the -- in the regulations under E 204
14 and despite the ANC's opposition, but with the
15 Office of Planning's recommended support and
16 neighbor support. So that's where I am on this
17 issue at this point. Thank you, Madam Chair.

18 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Thank you so much,
19 Commissioner. I think your comments were great.
20 You covered everything, and we needed to hear all
21 that background from you. Being present, Vice
22 Chair, do you have any questions or discussion items
23 you'd like to add to the discussion?

24 VICE CHAIR GOLDSTEIN: Yes, I would like to
25 -- I just want to begin by thanking Commissioner

1 Miller. That was really helpful and thoughtful
2 analysis. I really appreciate your insights. I
3 want to just begin by saying I did review the
4 record. I reviewed the transcripts, I reviewed the
5 reports, and I feel ready to discuss and deliberate
6 on this case. I do want to say as a starting point
7 that I am -- I'm skeptical that this type of
8 scenario was what was contemplated when porch roof
9 was added to the special exception analysis, which I
10 believe occurred as part of Zoning Commission Case
11 14-11B in 2017.

12 I'd welcome more research on this point if
13 possible, but my strong suspicion is that the intent
14 was to stop the complete removal of porch roofs that
15 had certain incentives going toward that direction.

16 At first, I think it was part of the restraint of
17 conversions in the RF zone. But by removing -- and
18 I think Commissioner Miller got at this -- by
19 removing the porch roof, applicants or homeowners
20 could reclaim lot occupancy, so there was some
21 incentive to get rid of porch roofs. Or frankly, it
22 could just be a preference for a flat facade design
23 with that look or the light that can penetrate into
24 the front windows. Over time, this rule has been
25 seemingly interpreted more broadly and

1 conservatively to catch more and more alterations of
2 the porch roof. But I think it's gone beyond, in my
3 view, what the original intent of it was, which is
4 essentially just to retain porch roofs, and I think
5 that's kind of where all of this began.

6 Saying that, and I -- I just want to echo
7 some of the thoughts that Commissioner Miller had.
8 I don't believe anyone has argued that there's any
9 impact on light and air, so no -- no reason to
10 linger there. The applicant meets that condition.
11 For the privacy of use of the neighboring
12 properties, as Commissioner Miller also referenced,
13 I mean, we have the neighbor saying, It doesn't
14 bother me. So I think that's actually a really good
15 evidence of it. The third one is -- is the one that
16 is really the sticking point and is the most
17 contested.

18 What's interesting is, first, the deck
19 itself, the porch roof, the deck on top of the porch
20 roof, has not really had any pushback, so there's
21 nothing about the look of the deck on top of the
22 roof, the porch roof, that's problematic from what
23 I've seen in the record. It's really down to the
24 safety railing so that someone can use that space.
25 And then, you know, the analysis really hinges on

1 the word substantially visually intrude, as was
2 referenced. Substantially, you know, does it
3 intrude to a large degree, for instance, on the
4 character of the block?

5 I think the porch roof itself is generally
6 intact and reflective of other porch roofs around
7 it. That doesn't appear to slope or the like. I
8 think a Juliet balcony would be actually more
9 visually intrusive than this railing. I think that
10 would actually clash far more with what's around it
11 than the railing that was installed. I think the
12 railing does not visually intrude. I think it's
13 sort of a black, airy, thin railing. It's needed to
14 accommodate the use of that space in a safe way. I
15 don't condone that it was done first and now we're
16 being asked for permission, and I'll talk a little
17 bit more about that, but we can see what it looks
18 like, and it just doesn't look like it substantially
19 visually intrudes upon the block. So it's not a
20 historic district.

21 I think it's -- it doesn't reach
22 substantially in my mind. I'm in agreement with
23 Commissioner Miller. I'm in agreement with the
24 Office of Planning that also found that it did not
25 substantially intrude upon the block, and I -- I

1 think it satisfies that standard for me. I do think
2 it is unfortunate that we are being asked to
3 retroactively approve unauthorized construction.
4 It's a position that I don't think we like to be in
5 or should be in, but I don't think it's fatal in
6 this case. And we are looking at it sort of with
7 fresh eyes, and I think the application meets the --
8 the special exception standards. So that's my
9 analysis. I would vote to approve it.

10 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Thank you, Vice
11 Chair. Your remarks were excellent. Additional
12 points: thank you for bringing up that case history
13 and your views on the architecture and symmetry. I
14 enjoyed hearing that. Board member Lindsjo, do you
15 have anything to add or any questions?

16 MS. LINDSJO: I have no questions. I would
17 just like to echo a lot of the same comments. I
18 think that this is a perfectly fine example, and
19 I'll be also supporting this.

20 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Thank you. And I
21 too see it as in harmony and place a lot of weight
22 on so many neighbors not objecting and taking the
23 time to enter their comments in the record. I, too,
24 find it unfortunate that the ANC is opposed and had
25 a -- a very comprehensive review. And it is really

1 important to take into account the ANC's comments.
2 I applaud them as being volunteers to the government
3 and working so diligently to support, protect, and
4 represent neighborhoods. I've worked with ANCs a
5 lot over my career and really value their efforts.
6 Having said all that, I feel like we're ready for a
7 motion. Commissioner Miller, would you be willing
8 to give us a motion on this item?

9 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes, Madam Chair, and
10 I agree with all of the additional remarks that have
11 been made by my fellow Board members. So I would
12 move that the Board of Zoning Adjustment approve
13 Application No. 21329 of Steven Jackson and ask for
14 a second.

15 VICE CHAIR GOLDSTEIN: Second.

16 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Madam Secretary,
17 would you please call the roll?

18 MS. MEHLERT: Please respond to
19 Commissioner Miller's motion to approve the
20 application. Chair Pourciau?

21 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Yes.

22 MS. MEHLERT: Vice Chair Goldstein?

23 VICE CHAIR GOLDSTEIN: Yes.

24 MS. MEHLERT: Board Member Lindsjo?

25 MS. LINDSJO: Yes.

1 MS. MEHLERT: Commissioner Miller?

2 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.

3 MS. MEHLERT: Staff records the vote as 4
4 to 0 to 1 to approve application No. 21329 on the
5 motion made by Commissioner Miller and seconded by
6 Vice Chair Goldstein.

7 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: The case is closed
8 on this item, and we'll move on to our next item,
9 which is a time extension No. 20974.

10 Madam Secretary, would you please enter the
11 item?

12 MS. MEHLERT: Next is application No.
13 20974A of DC Chinatown Hotel Owner LLC. This is a
14 request pursuant to Subtitle Y, section 705.2 for a
15 two-year extension of the validity of order in
16 application No. 20974 issued on May 9th, 2024. This
17 project approved -- or this application approved a
18 new nine-story building retaining portions of the
19 existing three- or four-story buildings for lodge
20 and retail and assembly uses. It's located in the D
21 4 R zone at 503, 517 H Street Northwest for 45 plus
22 330, 42, 43, 44, and 48.

23 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Thank you. Is there
24 any discussion on this item from any Board members?

25 COMMISSIONER MILLER: I would just note,

1 Madam Chair, that I think good cause for a time
2 extension has been demonstrated. We're experiencing
3 a lot of time extension requests in the current
4 economic climate that we're in difficulty in
5 obtaining financing for projects that were
6 previously approved. So I think the good cause has
7 been demonstrated here, and they have been working
8 diligently to try to -- to get that financing and
9 the hotel operator. So I'm -- I'm supportive of
10 this time extension.

11 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Thank you,
12 Commissioner. Any other discussion on this item?
13 All right. Thank you. Commissioner Miller, would
14 you like to offer a motion?

15 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Sure. Thank you. I
16 would move that this Board of Zoning Adjustment
17 approve case No. 20974A, 505 517 H Street Northwest,
18 first extension request. Hopefully, they won't need
19 a second, but we'll see what happens there. So I
20 would move that approval, Madam Chair, and -- and
21 ask for a second.

22 MS. LINDSJO: I'll second.

23 VICE CHAIR GOLDSTEIN: Second. Oh.

24 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Okay. The item has
25 been moved and -- and seconded by Ms. Lindsjo.

1 Madam Secretary, would you please call the vote?

2 MS. MEHLERT: Please respond to
3 Commissioner Miller's motion to approve the time
4 extension request. Chair Pourciau?

5 CHAIRPERSON POURCIAU: Yes.

6 MS. MEHLERT: Vice Chair Goldstein?

7 VICE CHAIR GOLDSTEIN: Yes.

8 MS. MEHLERT: Board Member Lindsjo?

9 MS. LINDSJO: Yes.

10 MS. MEHLERT: And Commissioner Miller?

11 COMMISSIONER MILLER: Yes.

12 MS. MEHLERT: Staff would report the vote
13 as four, zero, to one to approve application No.
14 20974A on the motion made by Commissioner Miller and
15 seconded by Board Member Lindsjo.

16 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went
17 off the record at 10:08 a.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings; and that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this action in which this matter was taken; and further that I am not a relative nor an employee of any of the parties nor counsel employed by the parties, and I am not financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Neal R. Gross", is written over a horizontal line.

Lauryn Barnes

0 0 24:4 0773 11:4 1 11:3 12:12 24:4 103.13 6:9 10:08 26:17 10th 11:7 1128 11:4 14-11B 19:11 17703D 8:23 1st 8:12,14,22 2 2- 8:16 2-575(B)(13) 8:19 2-575B13 8:1 2-575B4 7:25 2017 19:11 202-727- 5:5 202-727-5471 6:18 2024 24:16 2025	11:6 2026 4:5 8:9 9:5 10:4,10 204 12:14,16 18:13 204.1 10:22 12:19 204.4 10:21 20974 24:9,16 20974A 24:13 25:17 26:14 21209 8:25 21329 10:16,18 23:13 24:4 21333 10:11 21404 10:9 21405 8:24 21434 8:24 21450 9:1 21453 9:2 21464 8:22 2222 9:2 22nd 10:10 23rd 4:11 24 6:16,24 17:20 24th 4:5 2:00 8:9 9:6,15 10:4	3 30 8:8 304 8:25 306 8:25 308 8:25 30th 9:5,15 10:3 330 24:22 34 17:25 3rd 11:7 4 24:3,21 405B 7:21 406 7:21 408.2 6:13 408.3 6:14 408.7 6:3 409 6:11 42 24:22 43 24:22 44 24:22 45 24:21 48 7:5 24:22	5 503 24:21 505 25:17 512 10:9 517 24:21 25:17 5471 5:6 5th 11:6 6 6C 15:18 7 705.2 24:14 73 11:5 9 901.2 10:20 9:32 4:2 9th 24:16 A a.m. 4:2 26:17 A/k/a 8:23 above-entitled 26:16 abutting 12:23 14:23
--	--	--	---

accepted 7:1	agendas 4:19	approve 9:24 22:3,9 23:12,19 24:4 25:17 26:3,13	begin 5:7 11:23 18:25 19:3
accessing 5:3 6:15	agree 23:10	approved 13:20 16:1,10,24 17:16, 25 24:17 25:6	benefit 12:17
accommodate 21:14	agreement 12:5 21:22,23	architectural 10:23	big 15:6
account 23:1	air 12:24 20:9	architecture 22:13	bit 21:17
act 7:18,21,22	airy 21:13	argued 20:8	black 13:24 21:13
add 18:23 22:15	alley 13:4,8	aspect 17:5	block 14:6 21:4,19,25
added 19:9	allowed 6:8 7:2	assembly 24:20	Board 4:4,7,11 7:3,14,16,21 8:8,10,15 9:19 10:3 11:6,20 12:1,5,6,18 16:1 22:14 23:11,12,24 24:24 25:16 26:8,15
additional 6:6 7:1 22:11 23:10	alteration 10:23,25 12:12 16:8 18:6	atop 14:8	Board's 7:6,12 10:17
address 5:17 10:7	alterations 20:1	attached 11:2	bother 20:14
adjacent 12:23	amendment 17:12	attorney 8:15	bringing 22:12
Adjustment 4:7 12:18 23:12 25:16	analysis 19:2,9 20:25 22:9	attorney- 8:14	broadly 19:25
Adjustment's 4:4	ANC 7:11 15:17 16:2,3,4,5, 23 17:17 22:24	audio 5:21	Brown 8:23
Administrative 7:18	ANC's 15:21 18:14 23:1	Azanat 8:24	building 10:24 24:18
advance 5:24	ANCS 23:4	B	buildings 24:19
adverse 7:10 12:22 13:10 14:18	and/or 7:25	back 14:20	business 8:5
adversely 15:12	applaud 23:2	background 5:22 18:21	BZA 4:8 17:15,20,22,25
advice 4:13,15 8:10	applicant 7:15 14:13,20 16:4,6, 13,14,24 20:10	balcony 13:21 16:9 21:8	C
advised 4:21 5:9	applicants 19:19	based 16:2	Cabezas 8:25
affected 7:11 13:1 15:17	application 10:9,11,18,19 11:5,24 15:24 16:25 18:12 22:7 23:13,20 24:4,12,16,17 26:13	basically 18:1	call 5:5 6:15,17 8:4 9:12 10:16 23:17 26:1
affecting 15:12	approval 25:20	beautiful 15:6	call-in 5:4,6 6:19
affirmation 6:2		began 11:6 20:5	
agenda 4:17 8:7,11,20			

called 5:25 10:13 13:20	client 8:15	conservatively 20:1	decision 5:7 7:4,6,10,12 12:3,4,8
career 23:5	climate 25:4	considered 17:12	decisions 4:18
Carmen 8:25	closed 4:12,13 7:23 8:3,5,8 9:5,14 10:3 24:7	consistent 7:21	deck 11:1 14:7 17:25 20:18, 19,21
Carousel 10:11	Code 7:24 8:1,16,18	constraints 6:12	decks 14:7 17:14 18:2
Carrera 9:1	Columbia 4:7 7:17	construction 12:21 13:4,13,14 22:3	degree 21:3
case 6:20 7:19,23,24,25 8:3 10:13,15,16 15:24 17:22 19:6,10 22:6,12 24:7 25:17	comments 18:19 22:17,23 23:1	consultation 7:8	Del 8:25
caseload 17:22	Commission 4:10 17:11 19:10	contemplated 19:8	deliberate 4:16 8:17 19:5
cases 4:17 8:11,18,20,21 17:15,16,24,25	Commissioner 4:10 9:21,22 11:8,11, 12,18,25 18:19,25 19:18 20:7,12 21:23 23:7,9,19 24:1,2,5,25 25:12,13,15 26:3,10,11, 14	contested 4:17 8:18 20:17	deliberating 7:25
catch 20:1	commissioners 11:13	conversions 19:17	deliberations 11:7 12:3
chair 4:6,8 7:8 9:7,9,10,15, 17,18,25 11:19 18:9,17, 22,24 22:11 23:9,15,20, 22,23 24:6 25:1,20,23 26:4,6,7	complaints 15:16	counsel 4:13,16 7:24 8:11 9:15	demonstrated 25:2,7
Chair's 9:14	complete 19:14	couple 15:25	design 19:22
CHAIRPERSON 4:3 9:3,8,11,16 10:2,14 11:10,22 18:18 22:10, 20 23:16,21 24:7,23 25:11,24 26:5	completed 6:1	court 4:22	determine 7:8
change 14:10,14	comprehensive 22:25	covered 18:20	determined 7:3
character 13:7,16 14:16 16:21 21:4	compromised 13:3	current 25:3	dialogue 16:3
Chinatown 24:13	concerns 15:21	D	differs 7:12
clash 21:10	concluded 11:6	D.C. 8:16,18	difficulty 5:3 6:14 25:4
clean 16:24	conclusion 6:20,25 7:6	day 8:13	diligently 23:3 25:8
	condition 20:10	DC 7:24 8:1 24:13	direction 19:15
	conditions 12:21	deal 17:13	discuss 19:5
	condone 21:15	dealing 12:14 17:21	discussion 11:23 14:4 18:22,23 24:24 25:12
		dealt 17:13	discussions 4:18 16:7
		December 11:7	

district 4:7 7:17 12:13 21:20	22:11	23:11	future 18:4
documents 6:6	exception 6:10 10:20 12:10,13,20 13:10 18:5 19:9 22:8	figure 16:17	
door 14:2	exhibits 14:3	file 6:22,23	G
dwelling 11:3 12:23	exist 13:22	finally 7:17 16:25	general 13:10
	existed 13:22	financing 25:5,8	generally 21:5
E 	existing 11:2 24:19	find 15:4 22:24	give 15:20 23:8
earlier 7:5	expense 17:20	fine 22:18	Goldstein 4:8 9:7,9,10,17,18 10:1 11:19 18:24 23:15,22, 23 24:6 25:23 26:6,7
echo 20:6 22:17	experience 5:3 6:14	finished 5:20	good 4:3 9:4,9,10 11:22 20:14 25:1,6
economic 25:4	experiencing 25:2	flat 19:22	government 23:2
effect 12:22	extension 24:9,15 25:2,3,10,18 26:4	floor 12:15 17:14,25 18:2	grant 12:18
effects 14:18	eyes 22:7	forgotten 6:16	great 15:20 18:19
efforts 23:5		forward 11:15,20	ground 17:14,24 18:2
element 10:23 12:12 18:6	F 	found 21:24	growing 17:6
elements 12:15	facade 19:22	four-story 24:19	guard 13:24,25
emergency 8:3	fact 14:19 15:3	Fourth 11:4	guardrails 13:24 17:10
engage 14:20,24	fall 14:1	Francisco 9:1	guess 13:23 14:2 15:7,9
enjoyed 22:14	family 17:7	frankly 19:21	guidance 11:17
enjoyment 12:23 13:2	fatal 22:5	fresh 22:7	
enter 6:4 7:22 9:5 22:23 24:10	favor 5:23	Friends 8:24	H
entered 4:11	favours 7:14	front 10:25 14:9 15:6,19 17:10 19:24	happy 11:11 12:7
essentially 20:4	feel 19:5 23:6	frontage 13:8	hard 15:4
evidence 6:4 20:15	feeling 16:23	full 7:9,11	harmony 22:21
excellent	fellow		hear

10:15 18:20	impacts 13:11	issued 7:9 24:16	leaves 15:7
hearing 4:5,19 5:1,3,8,12,14 6:5,12,17,25 7:5,7,19 8:22 11:5,16 12:2 14:14 16:4 22:14	important 5:20 23:1	issues 6:22 17:21	legal 4:13,15 7:24 8:10 9:14
heavily 11:13	incentive 19:21	item 8:7 23:8 24:8,11,24 25:12,24	letter 15:22
heavy 17:22	incentives 19:15	items 18:22	letters 15:2,13
held 4:14,18 7:19 8:9	including 7:11		light 12:24 19:23 20:9
helpful 11:14 19:1	individual 6:21	J 	limited 5:19 18:3
hinges 20:25	insights 19:2	Jackson 10:18 23:13	Lindsjo 4:9 9:19,20 11:20 22:14,16 23:24,25 25:22,25 26:8,9,15
historic 21:20	installation 11:1	joined 11:14	linger 20:10
history 22:12	installed 21:11	joining 4:8,9	list 8:20
hold 8:8 9:14	instance 21:3	judgment 14:11	listening 5:1,13
Holdings 10:11	instructions 5:6 6:19	Juliet 13:20 16:9 21:8	live 4:22,23 6:6
home 5:17	intact 21:6	July 8:12,14,22 10:10	living 15:15
homeowners 17:18 19:19	intent 19:13 20:3	June 4:4,11 8:8 9:5,15 10:3	LLC 9:1,2 10:10,11 24:13
hotel 24:13 25:9	intentional 16:16		located 11:3 24:20
hotline 5:5 6:18	interesting 20:18	kids 17:8	lodge 24:19
hours 6:16,24 7:5	interpreted 19:25	kind 18:5,10 20:5	login 5:6 6:19
house 14:9 15:1,7 17:7	intrude 13:6 14:16 15:11 21:1, 3,12,25		long 18:10
houses 13:7,16 14:6	intrudes 13:15 21:19	L 	longer 5:22
	intruding 16:21	lack 16:5,11,12	looked 15:9
I 	intrusive 21:9	large 21:3	lot 11:5 14:3,4 19:20 22:17,21 23:5 25:3
images 15:3	issue 7:16 13:12 17:13,24 18:17	leadership 11:19	
impact 20:9		leaned 11:13	
		leave 6:22	

M Madam 10:6 11:11 18:9,17 23:9,16 24:10 25:1,20 26:1 made 4:18 16:8 18:2 23:11 24:5 26:14 maintained 6:13 make 7:4 8:12 9:4 Maria 8:25 matter 12:3 18:3 26:16 matters 10:7,12 measures 11:17 meet 10:3 18:12 meeting 4:12,13,19 5:7,10 7:4,6, 23 8:3,6,8,12,13,22 9:14 10:17 meets 16:20 20:10 22:7 Mehlert 8:21 9:4,11,13,17,19, 21,23 10:8,17 12:9 23:18,22,24 24:1,3,12 26:2,6,8,10,12 Melissa 4:9 member 4:9 9:19,20 11:20 12:1 22:14 23:24 26:8,15 members 12:5,6,7 23:11 24:24 metal 13:21,24 15:5 Michelle 4:6	microphone 5:21 Miller 4:10 9:21,22 11:8,12, 18,25 19:1,18 20:7,12 21:23 23:7,9 24:1,2,5, 25 25:13,15 26:10,11, 14 Miller's 23:19 26:3 mind 17:5 21:22 misleading 16:16 misled 16:23 misunderstanding 16:15 morning 4:3 9:4,9,10 motion 9:4,14,24 10:2 23:7,8, 19 24:5 25:14 26:3,14 move 23:12 24:8 25:16,20 moved 25:25 mute 5:21 muted 5:2,14 N needed 7:12 18:20 21:13 neighbor 18:16 20:13 neighborhood 13:11 14:17 16:22 17:7 neighborhoods 23:4 neighboring 12:25 13:2 14:18,19 20:11 neighbors	14:23 15:2,13 17:17 22:22 nine-story 24:18 noise 5:22 Northeast 11:4 Northwest 24:21 25:17 note 10:12 24:25 noted 8:11 notice 8:2 November 11:6 number 5:5 6:18 15:19 O oath 6:1 objecting 22:22 obtaining 4:12,15 25:5 occupancy 19:20 occurred 19:10 offer 25:14 Office 4:20,25 5:5 6:17 7:7,13 15:22 18:15 21:24 Official 7:24 8:1,16,18 omnibus 17:12,21 online 6:5 open	7:19 17:6,9 operator 25:9 opposed 22:24 opposition 5:24 15:17,18 18:1,14 options 18:4 oral 5:17,18 order 4:6 6:9 7:9,11,16 8:4,10 24:15 orders 7:14 original 10:24 20:3 originally 13:20 16:24 Owner 9:2 24:13 P P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 4:1 p.m. 8:9 9:6,15 10:4 part 6:7 19:10,16 participants 6:1 participate 5:15 participated 11:9 12:2 parties 7:2 party 7:11 passes 10:2 pattern 13:7,15 14:16 16:21
---	---	--	---

Paul 4:8	preference 19:22	process 17:19 18:5	railings 13:21
penetrate 19:23	preliminary 10:6,12	project 11:3 24:17	rails 13:25
people 14:21,24 17:5 18:3,7	prepared 18:10	projects 25:5	rambling 18:10
perfectly 22:18	present 18:21	properties 12:25 13:2 14:18,19 20:12	re-requested 12:11
permission 21:16	presentation 5:18	property 12:24	reach 12:4,8 21:21
persons 5:14,23	presentations 5:18	proposed 12:21 13:3,13	read 12:16
photographs 14:4,12	presented 6:7	protect 23:3	ready 10:5,15 19:5 23:6
picking 5:22	preserve 8:14	provide 8:20	rear 17:14,25 18:2
place 22:21	Preston 8:22	provided 14:13,22	reason 20:9
planned 6:23	previous 16:6	providing 5:17 8:2	reasonable 7:2
planning 5:23 15:22 21:24	previously 4:14 25:6	public 4:5,14 5:9,11 6:25 7:19, 20 8:2 11:5 12:2,17 13:5 18:7	recall 13:21
Planning's 7:13 18:15	principal 10:24 11:3	purpose 4:12,15	receive 5:6 6:19 8:10
plans 13:19 16:10	prior 6:16 15:24	purposes 7:23	recently 17:11
point 17:3,4 18:6,17 19:6,12 20:16	privacy 13:1 20:11	pursuant 6:8,10,11,13 7:20,24 8:1,16,18 10:19 24:14	reclaim 19:20
points 5:20 22:12	privilege 8:15	pushback 20:20	recommendation 7:13
porch 10:25 13:23 19:8,14,19, 21 20:2,4,19,22 21:5,6	pro 14:22	Q	recommended 18:15
porches 14:8	problematic 20:22	questions 18:22 22:15,16	record 6:24 9:23 14:5,23 18:7 19:4 20:23 22:23 26:17
portions 24:18	procedure 6:9 7:18	R	recorded 4:21
position 22:4	procedures 7:22		records 24:3
Pourciau 4:3,6 9:3,8,11,15,16,25 10:2,14 11:10,22 18:18 22:10,20 23:16,20,21 24:7,23 25:11,24 26:4,5	proceed 10:5		referenced 20:12 21:2
	proceeding 4:21	rail 13:24 17:14	reflective 21:6
	proceedings 11:9	railing 11:1 14:10 15:5 20:24 21:9,11,12,13	regulation 12:14

regulations 18:13	retain 20:4	17:9	significant 10:22
related 17:23	retaining 24:18	safety 20:24	signing 5:25
relief 12:11,16,19 17:16,18 18:11	retroactively 22:3	satisfies 22:1	similar 13:21 16:1
remarks 22:11 23:10	review 16:18 19:3 22:25	scale 13:7,16 14:16 16:22	six-month 17:19
removal 10:22 19:14	reviewed 14:5 19:4	scenario 19:8	size 18:2,3
removing 19:17,19	rewarded 16:13	schedule 10:9	skeptical 19:7
report 15:23 26:12	RF 11:3 12:12 19:17	scheduled 8:21	slope 21:7
reporter 4:22	rid 19:21	School 8:24	sort 21:13 22:6
reports 19:5	Rivero 9:2	second-story 11:1 14:1,7	sound 5:22
represent 23:4	Rob 4:10 11:8	seconded 24:5 25:25 26:15	space 17:6,9 20:24 21:14
request 6:4,22 7:15 14:13,19 24:14 25:18 26:4	role 16:16	secretary 8:19 9:3,11 10:6 11:11 12:9 23:16 24:10 26:1	speaking 5:20
requested 12:11 18:11	roll 8:4 9:12 23:17	section 6:2,9,11 7:25 8:1,16,19 10:20,21,22 24:14	special 6:10 10:20 12:10,13,20 13:10 18:5 19:9 22:8
requests 25:3	roof 10:25 13:23 19:8,19 20:2,19,20,22 21:5	sections 6:13 7:20	specific 10:13
required 6:2 7:10 15:20 17:16,18	roofs 14:8 19:14,21 20:4 21:6	seeking 7:23	square 11:4
requirements 10:21 12:19	rooftop 10:23 12:12,15 18:6	seemingly 19:25	Staff 9:23 24:3 26:12
requires 7:18	Rosalie 9:1	session 4:12 5:8,10,12 7:4,6,7 8:9 9:5 10:3,18	standard 12:15 16:20 22:1
rescheduled 10:10	row 17:7	setting 4:14	standards 13:10 18:13 22:8
research 19:12	rule 19:24	Shaley 8:24	starting 19:6
respond 7:2 9:13 23:18 26:2	rules 7:22	Sidwell 8:23	state 5:16
restraint 19:16	S	sign 6:16,18	Steven 10:18 23:13
retail 24:20	safe 21:14	signed 5:15,24	sticking 20:16
	safely		stop 19:14

story 13:20	10:9	19:4	Vice 4:8 9:7,8,10,17,18,25 11:19 18:21,24 22:10 23:15,22,23 24:6 25:23 26:6,7
street 9:1,2 11:4 13:4,8,14,17 14:21,25 15:3,4 24:21 25:17	technical 6:22	tree 10:11 15:6	video 4:24 6:7
strong 15:23 19:13	telephone 5:2,4,13 6:15	trust 11:19 16:5,12	view 20:3
subject 12:20	testify 5:15,23,25 6:1,18,21	two-story 11:2	viewed 13:4,14
subjective 14:11	testimony 5:9,11,18 6:5,8,12,23, 25 7:1	two-year 24:15	views 22:13
submitted 15:13,22,23 16:25	text 17:12	type 19:7	virtual 6:5
substantially 12:22 13:5,6,15 14:15 15:11 16:20 21:1,2,18, 22,25	thanking 18:25	unable 6:21	visually 13:6,15 14:15 15:11 16:20 21:1,9,12,19
Subtitle 6:2,8,11,13 10:19,20,21 12:14,16,19 24:14	there'd 15:16	unanimous 15:18	volunteers 23:2
summary 5:19 7:9,14	thin 13:24 21:13	unanimously 17:15	vote 4:16 8:4,5,7,17 9:12,24 11:8 22:9 24:3 26:1,12
support 14:23 15:2,14,23 18:11, 15,16 23:3	things 17:7	unauthorized 22:3	voted 4:14
supported 17:17	thought 16:9	understand 16:22	w
supporting 6:6 22:19	thoughtful 19:1	unduly 13:1,3	wanted 14:24
supportive 25:9	thoughts 18:10 20:7	unfortunate 22:2,24	webcast 4:22,24
supposed 16:18	three- 24:19	unlawful 16:14	Webex 4:22 5:2,4,6,13 6:15,19
suspicion 19:13	tight 17:8	unlawfully 13:18	website 4:20,25
symmetry 22:13	time 5:16 6:4,12 7:2 12:6 15:10 17:19 19:24 22:23 24:9 25:1,3,10 26:3	unmuted 5:16	weight 15:21 22:21
T	to- 17:22	upper 12:15	window 14:1
taking 8:4 22:22	today 4:8 5:7 10:9 11:12,16 12:8	V	windows 19:24
talk 21:16	today's 4:17,19,25 5:12	validity 24:15	winter 15:8,10
Taylor	top 14:8 20:19,21	variances 6:10	withdrawn 10:12
	transcripts	version 6:23	

word

21:1

worked

23:4

working

11:21 23:3 25:7

workload

17:23

written

6:5,23 7:1

Y

year

17:1

years

13:19,23 15:15,25

Yonna

8:23

Youtube

4:23

Z

zone

11:4 19:17 24:21

zoning

4:4,7,10 5:5 6:17 7:7

12:14,18 17:11,12

19:10 23:12 25:16

Zoning's

4:20,25